

Overview

- 1. Background: from just-in-case to just-in-time delivery of COUNTER data
- 2. Using Alma—Using JUSP—Using Alma + JUSP
- 3. Why use both?

Collecting usage data... just-incase

Collect data manually

- Download COUNTER reports manually from vendor/publisher sites
- Review spreadsheets individually

Very time intensive !!!

2 to 3 weeks to do all vendors so would need full time person if doing this monthly

Limited decisions based on this information

Usage Data Loader - USTAT

Started using USTAT in February 2014

- Only reports that can be harvested via SUSHI
- Limited to specific COUNTER reports JR1, DB1, BR1, BR2
- Reports available via Analytics

Better but not still not great ...

Usage Data Loader - Alma

Worked with Ex Libris to develop for Alma – started August 2016

- Now have automatic via SUSHI & can upload manually
- Many more COUNTER reports
- Reports available via Analytics new and improved

But still need to monitor to find out why a SUSHI harvest has stopped working ...

JUSP

JUSP = Journal Usage Statistics Portal

Jisc = Joint Information Systems Committee (in UK)

CAVAL = Cooperative Action by Victorian Academic Libraries (Australia)

Subscribed to JUSP at start of this year

- Only COUNTER reports via SUSHI
- Not all vendors or COUNTER reports
- Reports are quite good but we can't create our own

JUSP - good dashboard

University of Adelaide - Journal Reports

Site rep: Katrina Mayfield
Your latest 50 reports
Data visualisations

NEWS YOUR DATA DEAL INFO ARCHIVE HOLDINGS PUBLISHER ISSUES / WISHLIST SUSHI SERVER ADMIN COMMUNITY AREA RESTATED DATA	

Your data

Generate a report:

Please select

List of currently available reports - JR1, JR1a, JR1 GOA, JR2, JR5 where available

Publisher/Gateway	Report(s)	Start	End	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	0ct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
				2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
ACM - Association for Computing Machinery	JR1, JR1 GOA	Jan 2014	Apr 2018	~	~	•	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	×
AIP - American Institute of Physics	JR1, JR1a, JR1 GOA	Dec 2016	Apr 2018	~	~	~	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	X
American Association for the Advancement of Science	JR1, JR1a, JR1 GOA	Jan 2014	Apr 2018	~	~	~	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	X
American Chemical Society (ACS)	JR1, JR1a, JR1 GOA, JR2, JR5	Aug 2014	Apr 2018	•	•	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	~	X
American College of Physicians (ACP)	JR1, JR1a	Jan 2014	Apr 2018	•	•	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	~	X
American Diabetes Association (ADA)	JR1, JR1a, JR1 GOA	Jan 2014	Apr 2018	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	•	•	~	•	~	~	~	~	~	×
American Psychological Association (APA)	JR1, JR1 GOA, JR2, JR5	Jan 2014	Apr 2018	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	•	~	~	•	~	~	~	~	~	X

WHY BOTH?

- 1. JUSP gives quick simple answers; Alma Analytics allows more complex analysis, but requires expertise
- 2. Benchmarking
- 3. JUSP's aim is to make CAUL reporting simpler (SCONUL returns in the UK)
- 4. JUSP's quality control of data; bringing vendors on board with SUSHI

ALMA PLUS JUSP

JUSP has a SUSHI server so we can harvest from there into Alma

Active	▲ SUSHI Account	Subscriber	Report Type	Counter Release
	Edward Elgar Publishing	The University of Adelaide	PR1	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing	The University of Adelaide	JR5	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing	The University of Adelaide	BR3	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing	The University of Adelaide	JR1	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing - JUSP	The University of Adelaide	JR1	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing - JUSP	The University of Adelaide	BR2	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing - JUSP	The University of Adelaide	JR5	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing - JUSP	The University of Adelaide	PR1	R4
	Edward Elgar Publishing - JUSP	The University of Adelaide	BR3	R4

ALMA PLUS JUSP ISSUES

What we are finding is that unless the platform and publisher match exactly we end up with duplicate data when we run the Alma Analytics reports

1/ We have been harvesting BioOne for some time and when we switched to using JUSP for their JR1 report we found that while the platform is the same (i.e. BioOne) the publisher for the JUSP data is always BioOne while from the vendor it varies.

If we download the JR1 COUNTER report from the BioOne website we also see the various publishers. So why are we getting BioOne as the publisher for JUSP?

REPLY:

BioOne is treated as a single publisher in JUSP, as are most publishers regardless of imprints or sub-publishers, so for the purposes of storing data here everything is labelled as "BioOne", we don't store the individual sub-publishers as that's not who we have an agreement with.

ALMA PLUS JUSP ISSUES cont.

2/ The platform is the same, i.e. Wiley Online Library, but the publisher when getting the data direct from Wiley is John Wiley and Sons while from JUSP it is just Wiley.

REPLY:

Wiley appears as "Wiley" as that's how they're stored in our system. This is because we harvest data from both publisher and gateways and there's no consistency at times between what might appear in the direct Wiley report (usually John Wiley & Sons) and aggregator reports where we might see "Wiley Online", "Wiley", "Wiley Blackwell" or other variants. We have to use the lowest common denominator here as that's the only way we can ensure every variant of "Wiley" is picked up.

WHAT NOW?

We have stopped setting up more harvesting of JUSP data into Alma Will take time to think this through and do further analysis

May need to delete everything that we harvested or loaded and then just get from JUSP?

Anyone else doing this? i.e. have been harvesting direct from vendor/publisher into Alma and then started harvesting from JUSP into Alma?

THANK YOU

Julie Wright

The University of Adelaide

julie.wright@adelaide.edu.au

