Harnessing Alma Analytics & R/Shiny for Insights

Drew Fordham & David Lewis, Curtin University Library, ANZREG 2019

Curtin University Library - CRICOS Code 00301J

Curtin University

- Over 56,000 students (36,000 EFTSL); 25% of students are international
- 10th largest university in Australia (2017) & 9th largest for overseas students (2017)
- Almost 4,000 equivalent full-time staff; one of the largest single-site employers in WA
- Destination of choice for WA university applicants with 53% domestic market share
- Major campuses in Perth, Kalgoorlie, Singapore, Malaysia, Mauritius and Dubai



Introduction

- In 2017, a self selected group of staff interested in data formed a working group.
- Aim to use data analytics and visualisation to have a measurable impact on library operations and planning.
- A lot of data available for the physical collection via Alma Analytics.
- For further reading IATUL paper presented and available here

Why use **R**/Shiny

- Functionality of Alma Analytics limited in terms of analysis and visualisation when compared to software such as R/RShiny (free/GNU)
 - R programming language ٠
 - RStudio development environment for R ٠
 - RShiny interactive visualisations for R•
 - RMarkdown and Slidy Presentation •
- There is also Tableau (proprietary / mainly visualisation)
- Interactive Visualisation tools useful as user determines analysis parameters used.
- Visualisation can allow for quick, intuitive insights and understanding of situations.

Analytics to R



Figure 1. Basic Workflow Alma Analytics to R (and back again)

Early Success

- Existing physical collection de-selection task multi-copy, zero use.
- Casual staff had been working from printouts of the whole collection, applying moderately complicated logic to make deselection decisions. Logic included low use in recent years, last copy available on shelf etc
- The task was
 - highly manual & paper based
 - lots of errors & seemingly endless
- Imported Alma Analytics fulfillment and physical item data into R
 - ran logic over entire collection
 - printed targeted pick lists 15k items rather than 500k items
 - exported deselected items back to Analytics for printing official reports purposes
 - very few errors and finished in 5 months

Impacts of Deselection Visualisation



Figure 2. Comparing items on shelves before and after the acceleration of the multi copy, zero use weeding deselection project (Using Alma, R and ggplot).

Other Early Success

| | | | | | | | | | ~/ | | | | | |
|-----|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 658 | | 306 | 3 | 371 | | 301 | | 330 | | 615 | | | 825 | |
| | - | 320 | 620 | 363 | | 720 | | 332 | ; | 346 | | 709 | | 302 |
| 61 | 6 | 610 | 612 | 327 | | 361 | 519 | 7 | 59 | 3(|)3 | 3 | 78 | 808 |
| | · | | 155 | 372 | | 624 | 813 | 51 | 5 | 940 | 1 | 53 | 660 | 629 |
| | | 370 | | | ┦ | 994 | 6 | 53 | D | 364 | 6 | 28 | 300 | 941 |
| 338 | 362 | | 823 | 617 | ╞ | 4 | 822 | 745 | 17 | 4 9 | 959 | 541 | 79 | 2 711 |
| | | 333 | 25 | 791 | F | 574 | 510 | 547 | 95 | 4 8 | 20 | 339 | 38 | 2 341 |
| | | | | | ┢ | | 242 | 158 | 64 | 1 3 | 23 | 355 | 91 | 0 664 |
| 621 | 621 305 331 | 5 | 618 | 657 | F | 613 | 343 | 809 | 61 | 1 6 | 22 | 336 | 27 | 700 |
| | | | | | | 307 | 428 | 304 | 61 | 4 7 | 96 | 70 | 631 | 909 |
| | | 551 | 1 | | 150 8 | 821 | 344 | 74 | 1 7 | 46 | 659 | 526 | 581 | |
| | | | | | | | 511 | 1.1 | ·· [' | | | 401 | 324 | |

Relative Size and Use of Main Collection-Levels 4/5/6-by Call No. (min 1000 items)

Figure 3. Relative size and use of Curtin Library's Physical Collection, Bentley Campus by Call or Dewey No. (min 1000 items, using Alma, R and treemap).

Refurbishment Modelling

- pressure on shelving space reduction of physical collection footprint by one third
- moving to large compactus
- initial thoughts
 - large collection, relatively low use except for small number of high use holdings,
 - therefore use closed access compactus with small open access collection
- what would staff effort look like, moving items between closed and open collections?
- what could data analysis tell us?

The model

- Created Alma Analytics reports on collection use
- An interactive model using RShiny was created based on most recent semester's loans/holds/returns data as if there was a large low use closed access compactus with small high use open access shelving
- several adjustable modelling parameters
- e.g. previous loan history
 - steady bias to recent loans over those from many years ago
 - only consider loan activity from last two semesters only
 - flat, no bias across between loans recent or old

Refurb Visualisation

2018 Semester I - Modelling/Estimates of physical collection processing if collection was closed access with only small open access component



Figure 4. Collection modelling for Robertson Library refurbishment planning (Alma, RShiny).

Refurb modelling Conclusions

- what appears to be a low use collection still requires a lot of staff effort
- **Conclusion** consider a larger open access collection, compactus or otherwise

Trove API

- Trove is a searchable discovery layer administered by the National Library of Australia and aggregates holdings across all Australian libraries
- Trove API (Application Programming Interface)
 - publicly available
 - Returns data in JSON or XML format quite different to table/spreadsheet style data

JSON and XML formats

- Good packages in R to work with both, extracting data into regular table type format.
 - rjson
 - jsonlite
 - xml2
- Trove API JSON not ideally formed, inconsistent structure between single/multiple instances between holdings adds to complexity and affects speed.
- Trove API XML easier to work with, simpler code and fast (Drew's opinion!)

Loading Data From Trove



Figure 5. Complex workflow Alma Analytics and Trove API

Alma/Trove API #I

Curtin Holdings in common with other libraries

- Idea is to aid de-selection process.
- Parameters
 - University Library/Otherwise
 - WA Library/Interstate Library
 - Not borrowed at Curtin since the year...
 - Maximum number of common institutions displayed
- Of the 300,000 holdings included 90% were held by at least one other library
- Much data cleaning needed to identify common holdings due to inconsistencies across library's catalogs. Trove does well!

Alma/Trove API #1 Visualisation

Trove Holdings Shared with Curtin incl Curtin copy Last Loan details @ 1st Nov 2018



Figure 6. Robertson Library, Curtin's Bentley Campus, holdings in common with other Australian Libraries – Totals (Alma, Trove API and RShiny).

Worked Example - Treemaps

- Initial static treemap shown earlier
- Can be turned into interactive Shiny Presentation
- First create reports in Alma Analytics Fulfillment and Physical Items



Figure 7. Alma Analytics Fulfillment report for treemap loan data.

Export from Analytics into R

Worked Example - Treemaps2

```
Clean Data
  > Treemap_physicalitems[str_length(Treemap_physicalitems$CallNumber)==0,]
  # A tibble: 88 x 3
     Barcode `Permanent Call Number` CallNumber
     <chr>
               <chr>
                               <chr>
    DC02947555 Map
                                        .....
                                        ....
     DC03133825 Map
                                        .....
    DC03133874 Map
                                        .....
    DC03134480 TIM
    5 DC03142654 Unknown
5 DC03142666 Unknown
                                        ....
                                        .....
                                        ....
     DC03142678 Unknown
                                        ....
    DC0314268X Unknown
                                        .....
    DC03142691 Unknown
                                        .....
  10 DC03147248 Map
  # ... with 78 more rows
  > Treemap_physicalitems[str_length(Treemap_physicalitems$CallNumber)==1,]
# A tibble: 45 x 3
    Barcode `Permanent Call Number` CallNumber
               <chr>
     <chr>
                                        <chr>
    DC08128260 Level 2 Enquiries Desk 2
     DC08128300 Level 2 Enquiries Desk 2
     DC08128316 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
                                        2
     DC08128365 Level 2 Enquiries Desk 2
     DC08128366 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
     DC08128383 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
    DC08128384 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
DC08128385 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
                                        2
    DC08128386 Level 2 Enquiries Desk
  10 DC08154878 Level 2 Enquiries Desk 2
  # ... with 35 more rows
  > Treemap_physicalitems[str_length(Treemap_physicalitems$CallNumber)==2,]
  # A tibble: 52 x 3
     Barcode `Permanent Call Number` CallNumber
     <chr>
               <chr>
                                        <chr>
     DC00537712 36 COM
                                         36
     DC00604443 57 FAL
                                        57
     DC00715912 54 CAH
                                        54
    DC0073310X 54 DET
                                        54
                                        54
     DC00733135 54 DIA
                                        54
    DC00733172 54 EAS
                                        51
     DC00750922 51 SEQ
    DC00758599 51 PET
                                        51
    DC00762046 53 GRE
                                        53
  10 DC00762363 53 GRE
                                        53
```

Figure 8. Data cleaning in R.

Create RShiny Visualisation, which manipulates data based on user input

Treemap Visualisation

Treemap for Number of Items versus Loans At Selected Dewey Ranges



Figure 9. Treemap Visualisation of Dewey Numbers Categories (Alma and RShiny)

| 800 | 0 | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | 100 | | | | |
| 900 | 400 | 200 | | | |

70000

Conclusion

- The group did have impact through the tools used, and analysis/visualisations created
- Expanded professional networks
- With the passing of each day, the group saw more and more need for data related skills and more and more opportunities to use data

Trove API #2 – Japanese Gardens

- Idea is to show all Australian library holdings for a particular subject as a network, showing each library's holdings for a subject in context with each others.
- "Gardens, Japanese" chosen as subject
- Used the Trove API, and RShiny with igraph/visNetwork packages
- Nodes represent libraries. Hover to identify library and total holdings. Relative size of node also represents number of holdings.
- Interestingly Trove API library information doesn't always include library name (including Curtin!)
- Edges represent common holdings. Hover to give total common holdings. Relative size of edge also represents number of common holdings.
- Also select minimum number of holdings for a library to be displayed.
- Circular arrangement works best.
- Key Lesson Here Keep libraries up to date

Trove API #2 – Visualisation

Physical Items in Trove - Subject - Gardens, Japanese - Shared Holdings

Minimum No Of Common Holdings

| 22 | | \$ |
|----|--|----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



Figure 10. Shared Library Holdings in Trove – Subject : Gardens, Japanese, Minimum common holdings : 22 (Alma, Trove API and RShiny).