How we are working in the Community Zone : linking, adding to CZ records

ANZREG Alma Best Practice Day 2016

Jenny Quilliam




What this means at UniSA:

- Much much more linking than adding... eBooks as a matter of routine with some exceptions...
- Upgrading records especially ebooks
- Correcting records wrongly linked ebook editions; incorrectly described ejournals
- Contributing at the collection level e.g. ClickView streaming videos (soon...)






The work is undertaken by staff in acquisitions and the metadata services team.



The 'Contributed By' operator in repository search in the Community tab is used to query for contributing libraries. As at early January, there were ca. 14.5K records contributed by organisations. Now 15,956 records


Narrowing down to institutions, there are

475 records UniSA



University of Adelaide	3,558
Oregon Health & Science	840
University of Manitoba	730
Virginia Commonwealth University	656
Eastern Oregon University	602
University of Idaho	556
University of South Australia	475
Western Sydney University	413
Queensland Health	368
Imperial College London	340


In early Jan, we had contributed 475, now up to 523




Mostly we are contributing corrections and enhancements to ebook records More journals than I had anticipated – now 88

And the odd few media records – though we are planning on contributing just over 4,000 records for the ClickView streaming media collections – after we've wrangled the records into some kind of shape (based on the vendor's title list, metadata quality was interesting!)

CZ are lost when everyone has to load metadata.



There were some puzzling aspects to the 'Contributed by' attribution happens...



Local MARC fields 59X, 69X and 9XX are designed to be institution specific, and not shared into bibliographic utilities

Libraries often use local MARC fields to record institution-specific details (ISD) information and this information is non-shareable. Currently if we need to record ISD information, we need to make a local copy of the record.

Hence any other upgrades made to the record do not benefit the CZ and conversely upgrades to the CZ record do not benefit libraries who have needed to create a local version of the record.

It is my understanding from participation in the CZ Advisory Group that this functionality was on the roadmap, please advise whether this is still the case?

Issues:

Over contribution

- Updating a CZ record with sensitive local information
- We had contributed / modified 23 records with our 904 username/password credentials on show to the Alma community. So we'll need a local record with our 904
- Resolution: Unlink the CZ record, create local record with 904 details linked to the existing portfolio, and edit the CZ record to delete the 904 field.



Over contribution – again the issue of local fields not being local.

For those pesky eresrouces that do not have IP authentication, but instead force you to use a user name and password to access, we have a solution in place using a local 904 field to store this info. Users are prompted to login and can then access the final URL, user name and password

MMS ID 99954928486295 Originating system CKB
Suppress from publishing No Originating system ID 954928486295 Export to WorldCat Don't publish Record Format marc21 Originating System Version Brief record No Export to Libraries Australia Don't publish LDR 00919nas 2200289 4500 001 99954928486295 005 20150821034016.0 008 760712c19719999enktr p 0 a0eng c 010 __ |a sn 85010872 022 __ |a 0018-1609 035 __ |a (OCoLC)2447384 035 __ |a (CKB)954928486295 035 __ |a (CONSER)sn 85010872 042 __ |a pcc 050 _4 |a LB2300 |b .H543 210 _ |a HIGH EDUC REV 210 0_ |a High. educ. rev. 222 _0 |a Higher education review 245 00 |a Higher education review. 260 __ |a Croydon [etc.] |b Tyrell Burges [etc.] 300 __ |a v. |c 21 cm.
310 __ |a Three times a year 362 0_ |a v. 4- autumn 1971-555 __ |a Vols. 7-9, autumn 1974-summer 1977, in v. 9. 650 _0 | a Education, Higher |v Periodicals.

904 __ |u library-eresources@unisa.edu.au |p eureka |l http://www.highereducationreview.com/ |d Sign in then go to Online Access. Click on Journals

Issues

How can we contribute a new bib with portfolio to an existing CZ collection?

- Use case: Library hosts an Open Journal Systems instance, and when new journals are launched, we would like to catalogue the title and add the bib + portfolio to the UniSA Library OJS CZ collection
- Workaround: Add portfolio to a designated free journals collection
- SF case 00192441 outcome: a record was added to the CZ but not our fully catalogued version, but just a minimal CZ record



With the 'Contribute portfolios to the CZ' functionality we can contribute new portfolios to existing selected electronic collections. However there are only 4 CZ collections where you can contribute directly: Free eJournals, Miscellaneous ejournals, US Gov Docs and Miscellaneous Free eBooks.

The knowledge centre advises of two methods to link standalone portfolios to the community zone – to keep our bib info, but enable the CKB linking information. What are the steps?

- 1 Use the "Link to Community" button, available for standalone records, in a repository search on Electronic Portfolio
- 2 Add the Standalone portfolios to a Local Collection > link it to a CZ Collection > select "Link to Community"

Neither of these two options achieve what we wanted to do which is to contribute a full catalogue record to a CZ collection – but the CZ collection is not one of the collections for which you can contribute bib data.

Issues:

Not updating an incorrect CZ record BUT creating a local copy

- Use case: The CZ record described a journal issued as CDROMs but linked to the online version
- Problem: The CZ record was duplicated and updated to describe the online version; linked to the CZ collection – BUT the original incorrect CZ record remains in the CZ.
- Resolution: Unlink the local record, delete the bib and upgrade the CZ record



For those of us who've worked in Libraries Australia, changing the format description of an existing bib record this has been very much a no no. However with CZ records, we know that these are for online resources and that the journal format being described as cd-roms was incorrect

